Analysis of New Aerodynamic Design of the Nose Cone Section Using CFD and SPH
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Abstract: A new nose cones concept that promises a gain in performance over existing conventional nose cones is discussed in this paper. It is shown that significant performance gains result from the adaptation of the exhaust flow to the ambient pressure. For this complex work, it was necessary to collect and study the various nose cone shapes and the equations describing them? The paper objective was to identify the types of nose cones with ejector channels and specific aerodynamic characteristics of different types of nose cones. The scope of this paper is to develop some prototype profiles with outstanding aerodynamic qualities and low cost for use in construction projects for missile increasing their range and effect on target. The motivation for such a work is caused by a lack of data on aerodynamics for profiles of some nose cone and especially improved aerodynamic qualities that can be used in designing missiles/rockets. This design method consists of a geometry creation step in which a three-dimensional geometry is generated, a mathematical model presented and a simple flow analysis (FLUENT Simulation from SolidWorks2012 and ANSYS Simulation with SPH for fluid-structure interaction), step which predicts the air intake mass flow rate. Flow phenomena observed in numerical simulations during different nose cone operations are highlighted, critical design aspects and operation conditions are discussed, and performance characteristics of the selected nose cone are presented.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In my scientific work about nose cone with ejector channels, it was necessary to study the equations describing the various nose cone shapes.

This study also brought together some characteristics and nose cone information that I have tried to use to develop some prototype profiles with outstanding aerodynamic qualities and low cost for use in construction projects for missile increasing their range and effect on target. The objective of this paper is to show that boundary layer separation can be postponed by the shape of the rocket nose cone.

The idea here is to get the incompressible static pressure at the base of the forebody to be as large as possible.

This will give the greatest critical Mach number and the least adverse pressure gradient over the cylindrical afterbody. Although vortices cannot be avoided, they can be mitigated by using the best nose cone shape. Shapes that can produce high critical Mach numbers give
the greatest $C_p$ with respect to ambient pressure. This is because the closer the $C_p$ local minimum is to 0, the weaker the adverse pressure gradient becomes, which results in a small vortex strength. [3]

2. GEOMETRIC PROPERTIES OF NOSE CONES

The paper objective was to identify the types of nose cones and specific aerodynamic characteristics of different types of nose cones.

2.1 Geometry of Tangent Ogive

The Tangent Ogive is a body of revolution formed from a section of a circle as shown in Figure 1. Given the length to diameter ratio $\frac{L}{D}$, we need to determine two lengths to draw the half profile of an Ogive.

One length is the radius of the circle that describes the profile of the Ogive. The second length is the distance from the center of the defining circle to the center line of the Ogive. The equation for the tip of the Ogive is given by equation (1). The first equation in (1) is just the equation for a circle. This defines the profile of the Ogive from the base to the tip. The second equation defines the tip of the Ogive.

The $y$ coordinate is equal to the length of the Ogive. The $x$ coordinate (fig. 1) is related to the radius of the circle defining the Ogive and the diameter of the Ogive.

\[ x^2 + y^2 = R^2 \]
\[ x_L^2 + L^2 = R^2 \]  

But $x_L = R - \frac{D}{2}$. So we have then

\[ R^2 = \left( R - \frac{D}{2} \right)^2 + L^2 \]
\[ \left( R - \frac{D}{2} \right)^2 = R^2 - L^2 \]
\[ D = 2 \cdot R - 2 \cdot \sqrt{R^2 - L^2} \]
So now the \( \frac{L}{D} \) is

\[
\frac{L}{D} = \frac{L}{2 \cdot \left( R - \sqrt{R^2 - L^2} \right)} = T
\]

\[
L = 2 \cdot T \cdot \left( R - \sqrt{R^2 - L^2} \right)
\]

\[
2 \cdot T \cdot \sqrt{R^2 - L^2} = 2 \cdot T \cdot R - L
\]

After simplifying, we get the following for the radius of the circle:

\[
R = D \left( \frac{4 \cdot \left( \frac{L}{D} \right)^2 + 1}{4} \right)
\]

For the distance from the center of the circle to the center line of the Ogive, we have

\[
l = R - \frac{D}{2} = D \cdot \left( \frac{4 \cdot \left( \frac{L}{D} \right)^2 + 1}{4} \right) - \frac{D}{2}
\]

After simplifying, we have for the distance to the center line:

\[
l = D \cdot \left( \frac{4 \cdot \left( \frac{L}{D} \right)^2 - 1}{4} \right)
\]

In order to simplify the next calculation, we need to create more compact notation by making the following assignments.

\[
T = \frac{L}{D}; \quad Y = \left( \frac{4 \cdot K^2 + 1}{4} \right);
\]

\[
Z = \left( \frac{4 \cdot K^2 - 1}{4} \right)
\]

\[
Y^2 - T^2 = Z^2; \quad Y^2 + Z^2 = \frac{16 \cdot T^4 + 1}{8}
\]

\[
Y \cdot Z = \frac{16 \cdot T^4 - 1}{16}; \quad Y + Z = 2 \cdot T^2; \quad Y - Z = \frac{1}{2};
\]

\[
R = Y \cdot D; \quad l = Z \cdot D
\]
2.2 Ogive wetted area

Another required ratio is that of the wetted surface area divided by the cross sectional area. The cross sectional area is simply

\[ S_{ZU} = \frac{\pi \cdot D^2}{4} \]  

(8)

The wetted surface is

\[ S_w = \int_0^L 2 \cdot \pi \cdot r \cdot dy \]  

(9)

where,

\[ r = x - ZD = \sqrt{Y^2 \cdot D^2 - y^2} - ZD \]

Then the ratio is

\[ \frac{S_w}{S_{ZU}} = \frac{2 \cdot \pi \cdot D^2}{\pi \cdot 4} \int_0^L r \cdot dy \]

\[ = \frac{8}{D^2} \int_0^L \sqrt{Y^2 \cdot D^2 - y^2} \cdot dy - \frac{8}{D^2} \int_0^L Z \cdot D \cdot dy \]

\[ = \frac{8}{D^2} \left[ \frac{y \cdot \sqrt{Y^2 \cdot D^2 - y^2}}{2} + \frac{Y^2 \cdot D^2}{2} \cdot \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{y}{Y \cdot D}\right) \right]_0^L - 8 \cdot Z \cdot T \]

(10)

\[ = 4 \cdot T \cdot \sqrt{Y^2 - T^2} + 4 \cdot Y^2 \cdot \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{T}{Y}\right) - 8 \cdot Z \cdot T \]

\[ = 4 \cdot T \cdot Z + 4 \cdot Y^2 \cdot \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{T}{Y}\right) - 8 \cdot Z \cdot T \]

The ratio simplifies to

\[ \frac{S_w}{S_{ZU}} = 4 \cdot Y^2 \cdot \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{T}{Y}\right) - 4 \cdot T \cdot Z \]

(11)

2.3 Ogive volume

The volume of the Tangent Ogive is:

\[ V = \int_0^L \pi \cdot r^2 \cdot dy \]  

(12)

where,

\[ r = x - ZD = \sqrt{Y^2 \cdot D^2 - y^2} - ZD \]

The volume to area ratio is:
Analysis of New Aerodynamic Design of the Nose Cone Section Using CFD and SPH

\[ \frac{V}{D \cdot S_{zu}} = \frac{\pi}{D \cdot \pi} \cdot \frac{D^2}{4} \cdot \int_0^L r^2 \cdot dy = \frac{4}{D^3} \cdot \left( \int_0^L \sqrt{Y^2 \cdot D^2 - y^2} \right) \cdot dy = \]

\[ = \frac{4}{D^3} \cdot \int_0^L (Y^2 \cdot D^2 - y^2) \cdot dy - \frac{4}{D^3} \cdot 2 \cdot Z \cdot D \cdot \int_0^L \sqrt{Y^2 \cdot D^2 - y^2} \cdot dy + \frac{4}{D^3} \cdot \int_0^L Z^2 \cdot D^2 \cdot dy \]

\[ = \frac{4}{D^3} \cdot \left( Y^2 \cdot D^2 - y^2 \right) \cdot \int_0^L \frac{y}{2} \cdot \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{y}{Y \cdot D} \right) \right|_0^L - \frac{4}{D^3} \cdot Z^2 \cdot D^2 \cdot L = \]

\[ = 4 \cdot Y^2 \cdot L - \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{L}{D} \right)^3 \cdot Z \cdot \frac{8}{D^2} \cdot \left[ \frac{y}{2} \cdot \sqrt{Y^2 \cdot D^2 - y^2} \right]_0^L - \]

\[ - Z \cdot \frac{8}{D^2} \cdot \left[ \frac{Y^2 \cdot D^2}{2} \cdot \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{y}{Y \cdot D} \right) \right]_0^L + 4 \cdot Z^2 \cdot \frac{L}{D} \]

\[ = 4 \cdot A^2 \cdot K - \frac{4}{3} \cdot K^3 - B \cdot \frac{8}{D^2} \cdot \left[ \frac{y}{2} \cdot \sqrt{A^2 \cdot D^2 - y^2} \right]_0^L + A^2 \cdot D^2 \cdot \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{y}{A \cdot D} \right) \right|_0^L + 4 \cdot B^2 \cdot K \]

\[ = 4 \cdot A^2 \cdot K - \frac{4}{3} \cdot K^3 - B \cdot \frac{8}{D^2} \cdot \left[ \frac{L}{D} \cdot \sqrt{A^2 \cdot D^2 - L^2} \right] - \]

\[ - 4 \cdot B \cdot A^2 \cdot \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{K}{A} \right) + 4 \cdot B^2 \cdot K \]

\[ \frac{V}{D \cdot S_{bt}} = 4 \cdot A^2 \cdot K - \frac{4}{3} \cdot K^3 - 4 \cdot B \cdot K \cdot \sqrt{A^2 - K^2} - \]

\[ - 4 \cdot B \cdot A^2 \cdot \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{K}{A} \right) + 4 \cdot B^2 \cdot K \]

\[ = 4 \cdot A^2 \cdot K - \frac{4}{3} \cdot K^3 - 4 \cdot B \cdot K \cdot B - \]

\[ - 4 \cdot B \cdot A^2 \cdot \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{K}{A} \right) + 4 \cdot B^2 \cdot K \]
\[ \frac{V}{D \cdot S_{BT}} = 4 \cdot A^2 \cdot K - \frac{4}{3} \cdot K^3 - 4 \cdot B \cdot A^2 \cdot \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{K}{A}\right) \] (17)

3. DEVELOPPING OF A CONSTRUCTIVE SOLUTION FOR NOSE CONES WITH EJECTOR EFFECT. CASE STUDY.

To validate the numerical simulation performed in SolidWorks Flow Simulation Fluent, we used an existing model of an ogive of the 122mm caliber unguided rocket for which we already have experimental data.

The numerical simulations were performed first on the standard projectile of the 122mm caliber unguided rockets without modification of the nose cone part at different flight speeds up to its maximum speed– fig. 2.

![Fig. 2 – Pressure, velocity, density and temperature for simulation at 750 m/s](image)

The results for simulations at different flight speeds are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Velocity (m/s)</th>
<th>Drag force for a quarter of structure (N)</th>
<th>Drag force to the rocket (N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>54.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>158.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>141.1</td>
<td>564.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>266.9</td>
<td>1067.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>366.8</td>
<td>1467.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>650</td>
<td>421.1</td>
<td>1684.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>493.1</td>
<td>1972.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
After selecting the channel configuration nose cone part ejectors (fig. 3 and fig. 4), we resumed the numerical simulations on the same type of projectile, this time equipped with modifications to the nose cone on the same flight speeds up to its maximum speed. In both cases, we extract the drag force produced at different levels of speed.

Fig. 3 – The selection of channels and mesh refinement in Gambit
The numerical simulations were performed first on the projectile standard 122mm unguided rockets caliber with modification of the nose cone part at different flight speeds up to its maximum speed.— fig. 5.
Numerical simulations have been performed to investigate the performance of the rocket nose cone equipped with ejector channels. The results for simulations at different flight speeds are shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Velocity (m/s)</th>
<th>Drag force for a quarter of structure (N)</th>
<th>Drag force to the rocket (N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>53.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>148.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>122.2</td>
<td>488.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>254.4</td>
<td>1017.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>343.6</td>
<td>1374.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>650</td>
<td>385.5</td>
<td>1542.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>484.3</td>
<td>1937.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**4. CONCLUSIONS**

The purpose of this paper is to propose a solution for performance improvement using missiles nose cone having ejector effect without disrupting the stability of the trajectory. The need was to achieve sustainable design solutions for ejector effect warheads for missiles in the execution of performance improvement over greater distances (fig. 6).

The improvement in accuracy is achieved at considerably increased computational cost. The results showing the time evolution of the free surface of modified nose cone and the plots of the velocity field, show that the method is numerically efficient and stable.

Although comparable accuracy of numerical results can be achieved by employing other discrete methods, such as smooth particle hydrodynamics, finite volume or boundary element methods, owing to its significantly lower computational cost and the simplicity of numerical implementation, this method can be considered as a serious competitor for the older and better-established methods.
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